# Partial Derivative Games in Thermodynamics

Shi Feng

## 1 Mathematics

### 1.1 Chain rule review

Suppose z = z(x, y), x = x(s, t), y = y(s, t) and compute  $\frac{\partial z}{\partial s}$  and  $\frac{\partial z}{\partial t}$ . From z = z(x, y) we have:

$$dz = \frac{\partial z}{\partial x} dx + \frac{\partial z}{\partial y} dy.$$

now we do the same thing to dx, dy:

$$dx = \frac{\partial x}{\partial s}ds + \frac{\partial x}{\partial t}dt.$$
$$dy = \frac{\partial y}{\partial s}ds + \frac{\partial y}{\partial t}dt.$$

plug into dz:

$$dz = \left(\frac{\partial z}{\partial x}\frac{\partial x}{\partial s} + \frac{\partial z}{\partial y}\frac{\partial y}{\partial s}\right)ds + \left(\frac{\partial z}{\partial x}\frac{\partial x}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial z}{\partial y}\frac{\partial y}{\partial t}\right)dt$$

therefore

$$\frac{\partial z}{\partial s} = \frac{\partial z}{\partial x}\frac{\partial x}{\partial s} + \frac{\partial z}{\partial y}\frac{\partial y}{\partial s}$$
$$\frac{\partial z}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial z}{\partial x}\frac{\partial x}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial z}{\partial y}\frac{\partial y}{\partial t}$$

To be more specific about the variables, we can write:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial z}{\partial s} \end{pmatrix}_t = \left( \frac{\partial z}{\partial x} \right)_y \left( \frac{\partial x}{\partial s} \right)_t + \left( \frac{\partial z}{\partial y} \right)_x \left( \frac{\partial y}{\partial s} \right)_t.$$
$$\left( \frac{\partial z}{\partial t} \right)_s = \left( \frac{\partial z}{\partial x} \right)_y \left( \frac{\partial x}{\partial t} \right)_s + \left( \frac{\partial z}{\partial y} \right)_x \left( \frac{\partial y}{\partial t} \right)_s.$$

The subscript indicates which variable is to be held constant.

#### 1.2 Multivariable derivatives

f,g,h are all functions of x,y, that is: f=f(x,y),g=g(x,y),h=h(x,y)

$$\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial g}\right)_{h} = 1 \Big/ \left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial f}\right)_{h} \tag{1}$$

This is obvious once rewrite functions as: f = f(g, h).

$$\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial g}\right)_x = \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \Big/ \frac{\partial g}{\partial y} \tag{2}$$

Proof:

We can rewrite  $f = f(x, y) = f(x, y(x, g)) \Rightarrow f(x, g)$ , therefore:

$$\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial g}\right)_x = \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\right)_x \left(\frac{\partial y}{\partial g}\right)_x = \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \Big/ \frac{\partial g}{\partial y}.$$

Note that the DOF remains 2

$$\left(\frac{\partial y}{\partial x}\right)_f = -\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \Big/ \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \tag{3}$$

Proof:

If we hold f as a constant, then x, y are no longer independent since they're now related by f = f(x, y).

$$df \equiv 0 = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}dx + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}dy \Rightarrow \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}dx = -\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}dy.$$

since x, y are related, we can write y = y(x, f), which leads to:

$$dy = \left(\frac{\partial y}{\partial x}\right)_f dx + \left(\frac{\partial y}{\partial f}\right)_x df = \left(\frac{\partial y}{\partial x}\right)_f dx + 0.$$

compare two equations above, we then arrive at:

$$\frac{\partial y}{\partial x} = -\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \Big/ \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}$$

Q.E.D

\*Cyclic chain rule:

$$\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial g}\right)_h \left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial h}\right)_f \left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial f}\right)_g = -1 \tag{4}$$

Proof:

WLOG, we look at  $\left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial f}\right)_g$  first, where g is held constant. Since g = g(h, f), h, f become dependent variables once g is fixed:

$$dg \equiv 0 = \left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial h}\right)_f dh + \left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial f}\right)_h df \quad \Rightarrow \quad df = -\left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial h}\right)_f \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial g}\right)_h dh.$$

Therefore:

$$\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial h}\right)_g = -\left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial h}\right)_f \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial g}\right)_h \quad \Rightarrow \quad \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial g}\right)_h \left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial h}\right)_f \left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial f}\right)_g = -1.$$

Q.E.D

$$\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\right)_g = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \left(\frac{\partial y}{\partial x}\right)_g \tag{5}$$

Proof:

Similarly, apply chain rule to f = f(x, y) = f(x(x), y(x, g)), where x is itself the parameter:

$$\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\right)_g = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\frac{\partial x}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\left(\frac{\partial y}{\partial x}\right)_g = (5).$$

Q.E.D

#### 1.3 Practice: 1st Law of Thermodynamics

First, look at two useful concepts, i.e. the heat capacity C, under different circumstances, it can be  $C_v$  or  $C_p$ .

$$C = \lim_{T \to 0} \frac{Q}{\Delta T}.$$

If the volume is fixed, pdV = 0, then

$$Q = U_f - U_i = \Delta U.$$

therefore:

$$C_v = \lim_{\Delta T \to 0} \frac{\Delta U}{\Delta T} = \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial T}\right)_V.$$

On the other hand, if the pressure is fixed, the work on the system is W = -PdV, then:

$$Q = \Delta U - W = \Delta U + P \Delta V.$$

therefore:

$$C_p = \lim_{T \to 0} \frac{\Delta U + p\Delta V}{\Delta T} = \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial T}\right)_P + P\left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial T}\right)_P$$

or, in terms of enthalpy: H = U + PV, it becomes

$$C_p = \left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial T}\right)_P, \quad Q = \Delta H.$$

Now we play with these equations. Note that in  $C_v$ , the independent variables we chose are T, V, whereas in  $C_p$  they are P, T. If we were to study the connection between  $C_v$  and  $C_p$ , we have to express them under the same set of variables.

If there exists an equation of state F(P, V, T) = 0 (generically there is, e.g. PV = nRT), this can be achieved by writing U = U(T, V) = U(T, V(T, P)). Then the partial derivative becomes:

$$\left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial T}\right)_{P} = \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial T}\right)_{V} + \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial V}\right)_{T} \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial T}\right)_{P} \tag{6}$$

where the last term  $\left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial T}\right)_P$  encodes information of equation of state.

Using this, we can rewrite  $C_p$  as:

$$C_{p} = \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial T}\right)_{P} + P\left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial T}\right)_{P}$$

$$= \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial T}\right)_{V} + \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial V}\right)_{T} \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial T}\right)_{P} + P\left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial T}\right)_{P}$$

$$= \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial T}\right)_{P} \left[ \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial V}\right)_{T} + P \right] + C_{v}$$
(7)

or

$$C_p - C_v = \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial T}\right)_P \left[ \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial V}\right)_T + P \right].$$

One can check that for ideal gas, it becomes the famous  $C_p - C_v = nR$ . From (7) we can solve for  $\left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial V}\right)_T$ :

$$\left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial V}\right)_T = (C_p - C_v) \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial V}\right)_P - P \tag{8}$$

Why we are interested in this tedious expression? Well, if we choose T, V to be the set of independent variables, and if  $C_p, C_v$  and the Eq of state can be measured experimentally, this will be extremely useful in finding the differential of U(T, V):

$$dU = \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial T}\right)_V dT + \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial V}\right)_T dV \tag{9}$$

plug in (8) into (9):

$$dU = C_v dT + \left[ (C_p - C_v) \left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial V} \right)_P - P \right] dV \tag{10}$$

which makes it possible to derive the internal energy by a path integral:

$$U = U_0 + \int_{\partial(T,V)} \left\{ C_v dT + \left[ (C_p - C_v) \left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial V} \right)_P - P \right] dV \right\}$$
(11)

where  $\partial(T, V)$  represents the path in T - V parameter space. But what if we want it represented in P, V, since sometimes we'd like to analyze things in P - V diagram more than T - V. Well, we can use the equation of state and derive the following:

$$dT = \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial P}\right)_V dP + \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial V}\right)_P dV.$$

and plug this into (11):

$$dU = C_v \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial P}\right)_V dP + \left[C_p \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial V}\right)_P - P\right] dV \tag{12}$$

We can use (12) to study adiabatic process, where Q = 0 thus S = Const. this means:

$$dU + PdV = 0.$$

therefore

$$C_v \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial P}\right)_V dP + C_p \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial V}\right)_P dV = 0 \tag{13}$$

simplify by applying cyclic chain rule:

$$\Bigl(\frac{\partial P}{\partial V}\Bigr)_S = \frac{C_p}{C_v}\Bigl(\frac{\partial P}{\partial V}\Bigr)_T \equiv \gamma\Bigl(\frac{\partial P}{\partial V}\Bigr)_T.$$

For ideal gas, this indicates the P-V lines are steeper in adiabatic process than in isotherms, but may differ in other equations of state. Now suppose the equation of state is given by:

$$PV = F(T).$$

where F(T) may be some complicated function of T or ideal gas's PV = nRT. Therefore, after taking derivative of P, V respectively, we have:

$$V = \frac{dF}{dT} \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial P}\right)_V, \quad P = \frac{dF}{dT} \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial V}\right)_P.$$

plug this into (13) we have:

$$C_v V dP + C_p P dV = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{dP}{P} + \gamma \frac{dV}{V} = 0$$
 (14)

Therefore, in an adiabatic process:

$$PV^{\gamma} = C \tag{15}$$