Dimensional transition from Kitaev spin liquid to decoupled fermion chains

Shi Feng¹, Adhip Agarwala² and Nandini Trivedi¹

¹Department of Physics, The Ohio State University, Ohio 43210, USA ²Department of Physics, Indian Institute of Technology, Kanpur 208016, India





March 9, 2023 1 / 12

Feng, Shi (OSU)

Introduction

• A. Kitaev. Ann. Phys. 321, 2-111 (2006)



Relevant QSL materials: • α -RuCl₃

- Y. Kasahara, T. Ohnishi, Y. Mizukami, O. Tanaka, Sixiao Ma, K. Sugii, N. Kurita, H. Tanaka, J. Nasu, Y. Motome, T. Shibauchi and Y. Matsuda. Nature 559, 227–231 (2018)
- Large in-plane field to destabilize order
- BaCo₂(AsO₄)₂
 - 1 X. Zhang, Y. Xu., T. Halloran, R. Zhong, C. Broholm, R. J. Cava, N.

Drichko, N. P. Armitage. A magnetic continuum in the cobalt-based

э

2/12

March 9, 2023

honeycomb magnet BaCo2(AsO4)2. Nat. Mater. 22, 58-63 (2023)

Small [111] field to destabilize order

Phase diagram under out-of-plane [111] field



- C. Hickey and S. Trebst. Emergence of a field-driven U(1) spin liquid in the Kitaev honeycomb model. Nat Commun 10, 530 (2019)
- N. Patel and N. Trivedi. Magnetic field-induced intermediate quantum spin liquid with a spinon Fermi surface. PNAS 116, 12199 (2019)
- S. Feng, A. Agarwala, S. Bhattacharjee and N. Trivedi. Anyon dynamics in field-driven phases of the anisotropic Kitaev model, arXiv:2206.12990

4 D b 4 A

Phase diagram under out-of-plane [111] field



- C. Hickey and S. Trebst. Emergence of a field-driven U(1) spin liquid in the Kitaev honeycomb model. Nat Commun 10, 530 (2019)
- N. Patel and N. Trivedi. Magnetic field-induced intermediate quantum spin liquid with a spinon Fermi surface. PNAS 116, 12199 (2019)
- S. Feng, A. Agarwala, S. Bhattacharjee and N. Trivedi. Anyon dynamics in field-driven phases of the anisotropic Kitaev model, arXiv:2206.12990

The simplest [001] field?

Phase diagram under [001] field and exchange anisotropy



$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{i} K(\sigma_{i}^{x} \sigma_{i+x}^{x} + \sigma_{i}^{y} \sigma_{i+y}^{y}) + \mathbf{K}_{z} \sum_{i} \sigma_{i}^{z} \sigma_{i+z}^{z} - \mathbf{h} \sum_{i} \sigma_{i}^{z}$$

Four phases in (K_z, h) plane:

- f 0 Gapless Kitaev spin liquid (KSL) at small h/K_z
- Decoupled/Weakly coupled fermion chains (FC) at intermediate h/K_z
- Toric Code (TC) at large K_z
- Partially polarized magnet (PPM) at large h/K_z as Emergent decoupled boson chains

Discussion by ED, DMRG, MFT, Effective field theory.

March 9, 2023 4 / 12

Ξ.

Phase diagram (DMRG & ED)

$$\chi = \frac{\partial^2 E_{\rm gs}}{\partial h^2}, \quad \langle W_p \rangle = \langle \sigma_1^x \sigma_2^y \sigma_3^z \sigma_4^x \sigma_5^y \sigma_6^z \rangle. \quad \langle W_p \rangle = 1 \text{ at } h = 0$$



Figure: Magnetic susceptibility χ by DMRG

Feng, Shi (OSU)

 March 9, 2023
 5 / 12

Phase diagram (DMRG & ED)

$$\chi = \frac{\partial^2 E_{\text{gs}}}{\partial h^2}, \quad \langle W_p \rangle = \langle \sigma_1^x \sigma_2^y \sigma_3^z \sigma_4^x \sigma_5^y \sigma_6^z \rangle. \quad \langle W_p \rangle = 1 \text{ at } h = 0$$



Figure: Magnetic susceptibility χ by DMRG

Figure: χ and Z_2 flux expectation by 24-site ED

A D > A B > A B

ъ

March 9, 2023

э

5/12

Feng, Shi (OSU)

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{LSW}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \Psi_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{k}) \Psi_{\mathbf{k}}, \ \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{k}) \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{k}) & \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{k}) \\ \mathbf{N}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{k}) & \mathbf{M}(-\mathbf{k}) \end{pmatrix}$$

where we defined $\Psi_{\mathbf{k}} \equiv (a_{\mathbf{k}}, b_{\mathbf{k}}, a_{-\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger}, b_{-\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger})^{\mathrm{T}}$ with a, b boson operators of A and B sublattices

March 9, 2023 6 / 12

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{LSW}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \Psi_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{k}) \Psi_{\mathbf{k}}, \ \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{k}) \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{k}) & \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{k}) \\ \mathbf{N}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{k}) & \mathbf{M}(-\mathbf{k}) \end{pmatrix}$$

where we defined $\Psi_{\mathbf{k}} \equiv (a_{\mathbf{k}}, b_{\mathbf{k}}, a_{-\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger}, b_{-\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger})^{\mathrm{T}}$ with a, b boson operators of A and B sublattices

$$\mathbf{N} = \frac{1}{4} \left(K_x e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{n}_1} - K_y e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{n}_2} \right) \sigma^x$$
$$\mathbf{M} = \left(\frac{\mathbf{h}}{2} - \frac{1}{2} K_z \right) \sigma^z + \frac{1}{4} \left(K_x e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{n}_1} + K_y e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{n}_2} \right) \sigma^x$$

March 9, 2023 6 / 12

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

э

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{LSW}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \Psi_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{k}) \Psi_{\mathbf{k}}, \ \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{k}) \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{k}) & \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{k}) \\ \mathbf{N}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{k}) & \mathbf{M}(-\mathbf{k}) \end{pmatrix}$$

where we defined $\Psi_{\mathbf{k}} \equiv (a_{\mathbf{k}}, b_{\mathbf{k}}, a_{-\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger}, b_{-\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger})^{\mathrm{T}}$ with a, b boson operators of A and B sublattices

$$\mathbf{N} = \frac{1}{4} \left(K_x e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{n}_1} - K_y e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{n}_2} \right) \sigma^x$$
$$\mathbf{M} = \left(\frac{\mathbf{h}}{2} - \frac{1}{2} K_z \right) \sigma^z + \frac{1}{4} \left(K_x e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{n}_1} + K_y e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{n}_2} \right) \sigma^x$$

Near $h_{c2} \sim 0.5$, K_z exchange on z bonds vanishes in PPM! \rightarrow Decoupled boson chains.

Feng, Shi (OSU)

March 9, 2023 6 / 12

(I) < ((i) <

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{LSW}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \Psi_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{k}) \Psi_{\mathbf{k}}, \ \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{k}) \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{k}) & \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{k}) \\ \mathbf{N}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{k}) & \mathbf{M}(-\mathbf{k}) \end{pmatrix}$$

where we defined $\Psi_{\mathbf{k}} \equiv (a_{\mathbf{k}}, b_{\mathbf{k}}, a^{\dagger}_{-\mathbf{k}}, b^{\dagger}_{-\mathbf{k}})^{\mathrm{T}}$ with a, b boson operators of A and B sublattices

$$\mathbf{N} = \frac{1}{4} \left(K_x e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{n}_1} - K_y e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{n}_2} \right) \sigma^x$$
$$\mathbf{M} = \left(\frac{h}{2} - \frac{1}{2} K_z \right) \sigma^z + \frac{1}{4} \left(K_x e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{n}_1} + K_y e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{n}_2} \right) \sigma^x$$



Figure: LSW of PPM

< E

4 D > 4 A

Near $h_{c2} \sim 0.5$, K_z exchange on *z* bonds vanishes in PPM! \rightarrow Decoupled boson chains.

Feng, Shi (OSU)

z - bond cut

von-Neumann entropy of α -bond cut: $S_{\nu N}^{\alpha}$

$$S^lpha_{
u N} = -\operatorname{Tr}
ho_{A_lpha} \ln
ho_{A_lpha}, \ lpha \in \{z,y\}$$

 A_{α} : subsystem whose edges cut α bonds

 $y-bond\ cut$





| ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ● ○ ○ ○ ○

Entanglement entropy



y - bond cut



von-Neumann entropy of $\alpha\text{-bond}$ cut: $S^\alpha_{\nu N}$

$$S^lpha_{
u N} = -\operatorname{Tr}
ho_{A_lpha} \ln
ho_{A_lpha}, \ lpha \in \{z,y\}$$

 A_{α} : subsystem whose edges cut α bonds



Structure Factor

 $S_{\text{tot}}(\mathbf{k}) = \langle \mathbf{S}_i(\mathbf{k}) \cdot \mathbf{S}_j(-\mathbf{k}) \rangle - \langle \mathbf{S}_i(\mathbf{k}) \rangle \cdot \langle \mathbf{S}_i(-\mathbf{k}) \rangle, \quad \omega_{\text{LSW}}(\mathbf{k}) : \text{ Linear spin wave of PPM}$ h_{c1} h_{c2} h/K $S_{\rm tot}$ ₃ (d) $\omega_{\rm LSW}$ (b) $S_{\rm tot}$ (c) 3 0.30.322 2-0.2-0.1-0.2 k_y/π k_y/π y/π -0.1-0.1-1-2-20.0-0.0 -0.0 $-3\stackrel{\blacktriangleright}{-3}$ -3 - 3 - 2 - 1 -3^{+}_{-3} 2 -2 -1Ó $\dot{2}$ Ż -2Ż 3 -1 k_x/π k_r/π

Feng, Shi (OSU)

Decoupled/Weakly-coupled fermionic chains in FC

Kitaev's four majorana decomposition: $\sigma^{\alpha} = ib^{\alpha}c$. Convert into canonical fermions and bond fermions:

$$c_{i,A+\hat{z}} = i(f_i - f_i^{\dagger}), \; c_{i,A} = f_i + f_i^{\dagger}$$

$$b^{lpha}_{i,A} = \chi_{ilpha} + \chi^{\dagger}_{ilpha}, \ b^{z}_{i,A+\hat{lpha}} = i(\chi_{ilpha} - \chi^{\dagger}_{ilpha})$$

Decoupled/Weakly-coupled fermionic chains in FC

Kitaev's four majorana decomposition: $\sigma^{\alpha} = ib^{\alpha}c$. Convert into canonical fermions and bond fermions:

$$c_{i,A+\hat{z}}=i(f_i-f_i^\dagger),\;c_{i,A}=f_i+f_i^\dagger$$

$$b^{lpha}_{i,A}=\chi_{ilpha}+\chi^{\dagger}_{ilpha},\;b^{z}_{i,A+\hat{lpha}}=i(\chi_{ilpha}-\chi^{\dagger}_{ilpha})$$

Hence

$$\begin{split} K_{z} \Big(b_{i,A}^{z} b_{i,A+\hat{z}}^{z} c_{i,A} c_{i,A+\hat{z}} \Big) &= K_{z} (2n_{i}^{f} - 1)(1 - 2n_{i}^{z}) \\ K_{x} \Big(b_{i,A}^{x} b_{i,A+\hat{x}}^{x} c_{i,A} c_{i,A+\hat{x}} \Big) &= K_{x} (1 - 2n_{i}^{x}) \\ &\times (f_{i}f_{i-\delta_{1}} - f_{i}f_{i-\delta_{1}}^{\dagger} + f_{i}^{\dagger}f_{i-\delta_{1}} - f_{i}^{\dagger}f_{i-\delta_{1}}^{\dagger}) \end{split}$$

Decoupled/Weakly-coupled fermionic chains in FC

Kitaev's four majorana decomposition: $\sigma^{\alpha} = ib^{\alpha}c$. Convert into canonical fermions and bond fermions:

$$c_{i,A+\hat{z}}=i(f_i-f_i^\dagger),\;c_{i,A}=f_i+f_i^\dagger$$

$$b^lpha_{i,A}=\chi_{ilpha}+\chi^\dagger_{ilpha},\ b^z_{i,A+\hatlpha}=i(\chi_{ilpha}-\chi^\dagger_{ilpha})$$

Hence

$$\begin{split} K_{z} \Big(b_{i,A}^{z} b_{i,A+\hat{z}}^{z} c_{i,A} c_{i,A+\hat{z}} \Big) &= K_{z} (2n_{i}^{f} - 1)(1 - 2n_{i}^{z}) \\ K_{x} \Big(b_{i,A}^{x} b_{i,A+\hat{x}}^{x} c_{i,A} c_{i,A+\hat{x}} \Big) &= K_{x} (1 - 2n_{i}^{x}) \\ &\times (f_{i} f_{i-\delta_{1}} - f_{i} f_{i-\delta_{1}}^{\dagger} + f_{i}^{\dagger} f_{i-\delta_{1}} - f_{i}^{\dagger} f_{i-\delta_{1}}^{\dagger}) \end{split}$$



Figure: MFT phase diagram

 K_z exchange on z bonds vanishes in the MFT of FC near $h_{c2}^-!$

A D > A B > A B

-

Possible effective Theory in FC

Ignoring interchain coupling K_z , \mathcal{H} becomes that of a compass chain, which can be mapped to the critical point of *TFIM*:

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{i} \sigma_{i}^{x} \sigma_{i+1}^{x} + \sigma_{i+1}^{y} \sigma_{i+2}^{y} \quad \rightarrow \quad \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{TFIM}}^{c} = -\sum_{i} \tau_{i}^{z} \tau_{i+1}^{z} - \sum_{i} \tau_{i}^{x}$$

March 9, 2023

10/12

Possible effective Theory in FC

Ignoring interchain coupling K_z , \mathcal{H} becomes that of a compass chain, which can be mapped to the critical point of *TFIM*:

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{i} \sigma_{i}^{x} \sigma_{i+1}^{x} + \sigma_{i+1}^{y} \sigma_{i+2}^{y} \quad \rightarrow \quad \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{TFIM}}^{c} = -\sum_{i} \tau_{i}^{z} \tau_{i+1}^{z} - \sum_{i} \tau_{i}^{x}$$

 $\mathcal{H}_{\text{TFIM}}^c$: 1+1D CFT with central charge $c = (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$, with left(right) chiral majoranas $\gamma_{L(R)}$.

$${\cal H}_L = \int dx (i \gamma_L \partial_x \gamma_L), \ {\cal H}_R = \int dx (-i \gamma_R \partial_x \gamma_R)$$

Possible effective Theory in FC

Ignoring interchain coupling K_z , \mathcal{H} becomes that of a compass chain, which can be mapped to the critical point of *TFIM*:

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{i} \sigma_{i}^{x} \sigma_{i+1}^{x} + \sigma_{i+1}^{y} \sigma_{i+2}^{y} \quad \rightarrow \quad \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{TFIM}}^{c} = -\sum_{i} \tau_{i}^{z} \tau_{i+1}^{z} - \sum_{i} \tau_{i}^{x}$$

 $\mathcal{H}_{\text{TFIM}}^c$: 1+1D CFT with central charge $c = (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$, with left(right) chiral majoranas $\gamma_{L(R)}$.

$${\cal H}_L = \int dx (i \gamma_L \partial_x \gamma_L), \; {\cal H}_R = \int dx (-i \gamma_R \partial_x \gamma_R)$$

Consider weak coupling between chains via coupling between γ_L and γ_R :

$$\mathcal{H}(\mathrm{FC}) \approx \mathcal{H}_L + \mathcal{H}_R - g \int dx (\gamma_L \partial_x \gamma_L) (\gamma_R \partial_x \gamma_R) \quad \rightarrow \quad \text{stable until finite } g_c$$

A. Rahmani, X. Zhu, M. Franz, and I. Affleck, Phys. Rev. Lett. 115, 166401 (2015)

Feng, Shi (OSU)

() < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < ()

Implication: Kitaev QSL from 1D chains



Talk by Yue Liu at D54.00014: Assembling the Kitaev honeycomb model from arrays of 1D symmetry protected topological phases, Mar. 6, 2023

K. Slagle el al, Quantum spin liquids bootstrapped from Ising criticality in Rydberg arrays, Phys. Rev. B 106, 115122 (2022)

Summary

- **①** Weakly coupled fermionic chains from Kitaev model under [001] field $h \sim h_{c2}^-$
- ② Decoupled bosonic chains from Kitaev model under large [001] field $\sim h_{c2}^+$
- Solution State n_i^z bond fermions from 1 to 0.5
- **9** Proposed effective theory in FC: $\mathcal{H}(FC) \approx \mathcal{H}_L + \mathcal{H}_R g \int dx (\gamma_L \partial_x \gamma_L) (\gamma_R \partial_x \gamma_R)$



Outlook:

- Central charge?
- How is (or is not) the FC phase connected to the proposed spinon Fermi surface under [111] field?

3

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト